

# DuPont - Nya betningsmedel mot jordburna svampsjukdomar i sockerbetor

New seed treatments against soil borne fungi  
in sugar beet

**2003**

**SBU Projektkod 2003-1-2-487**

**SBU Sockernäringens BetodlingsUtveckling AB är ett  
kunskapsföretag som bedriver försöks- och odlings-  
utveckling i sockerbetor för svensk sockernäring.**

**SBU ägs till lika delar av Danisco Sugar och Betodlarna.**

**Kontaktperson:**  
Åsa Olsson  
tel 0709-53 72 62  
[asa.olsson@danisco.com](mailto:asa.olsson@danisco.com)  
Borgeby Slottsväg 11, 237 91 Bjärred

# Nya betningsmedel mot jordburna svampsjukdomar i sockerbetor

## Sammanfattning

Syftet med denna försöksserie var att prova effekten av några nya betningsmedel (Celest med den verksamma substansen fludioxonil samt två doser av en kodad substans i led 7 och 8) mot skadesvampar i sockerbetor.

- Samtliga provade betningsmedel mot jordburna svampar gav ett ökat plantantal jämfört med obehandlat led, 5 000 – 15 000 fler plantor/ha.
- Vid den första bedömningen av rotbrand under uppkomst var andelen friska plantor i led 4 (Tachigaren) 66%, vilket är av ungefär samma nivå som i övriga provade betningsmedel. Vid den andra rotbrandsbedömningen hade tre led mer än 43% friska plantor; led 4 (Tachigaren), 6 (Celest) och 8. Plantornas skador var också signifikant lägst i dessa led.
- Vid bedömningen av betor med kroniska symptom på rotbrand efter skörd, tenderade angreppen att vara relativt låga i led 4 och 7. Det fanns dock inga signifikanta skillnader mellan något av ledens.

## Summary

The purpose of the present investigation was to test new seed treatments against root rot fungi in sugar beets.

- In comparison with treatment 1 (completely untreated), all fungicide treatments have increased the number of plants with between 5 000 – 15 000 pl/ha.
- In the first field evaluation of damping-off, the number of healthy plants in treatment 4 was 66%, which is of the same level as in the other seed treatments. The average damage on each plant was also low (<1). In the second evaluation of damping-off, three treatments had more than 43% healthy plants; treatments 4 (Tachigaren), 6 (Celest) and 8. These treatments also had the significantly lowest plant damage.
- The number of beets with chronical symptoms of root rot after harvest was relatively low in treatments 4 (Tachigaren) and 7. There were no significant differences between the treatments.

## Introduction

A number of soil borne fungal diseases in sugar beet may cause substantial damage in sugar beet fields by reducing plant number and growth. One of the most important of these pests and diseases in Sweden is the water mold fungus *Aphanomyces cochlioides*. Under favourable conditions (warm and wet soil), *A. cochlioides* typically attack young seedlings 2 – 3 weeks after emergence. The roots become brown and in severe attacks, the entire hypocotyl (region between root and cotyledons) and cotyledons may rot and the seedling dies. Early seedling attacks of *A. cochlioides* may result in low plant numbers on the field. In addition, *A. cochlioides* may infect sugar beets throughout the growing season whenever conditions are favourable, thus reducing growth and storage capability.

Other fungi may also cause root rot e.g. *Pythium* spp. (preemergence damping-off) and *Rhizoctonia solani*. *Pythium* spp. attacks young seedlings within the first week after emergence. Recent inventories in Sweden have indicated that *Pythium* spp. and *Rhizoctonia solani* seem to be less common than *A. cochlioides* (L. Persson, SBU, pers. comm.)

This trial series included a total of eight different seed treatments; one untreated control, one treatment with insecticide (Cruiser 600 FS) but without fungicide and, six treatments with combinations of insecticides and fungicides (see field plan). The trials were laid out on three locations, with low, medium and high potential risk of damping-off, in the southern part of Sweden. The trials were sown 030414 (Ingelstr  de and Skiber  d) and 030417 (Rycketofta).

The purpose of the present investigation was to test new seed treatments against root rot fungi in sugar beet and to compare their effect with the seed treatments that are used today.

## Material and methods

In early spring 2002, soil samples were taken from a number of different locations in the south of Sweden. The soil samples were analyzed for their potential to infect young sugar beet seedlings. The soil tests were carried out by Syngenta Crop Protection (Maria Nihlg  rd). Sugar beet seeds were sown in pots with test soil and then put in greenhouse under conditions favourable for infection. The seedlings were evaluated every week for symptoms of damping-off (dead seedlings were removed from the pots). A soil index was then calculated according to the method by Ewaldz (1993):

$$\text{Index} = (3 * \text{as7} + 3 * (\text{as14} - \text{as7}) + (\text{as21} - \text{as14}) + 0,5 * (\text{as28} - \text{as21})) / 3$$

where as = number of attacked seedlings at 7, 14, 21 and 28 days. This method focuses mainly on early and predominantly lethal attacks and the number of infected plants during the first two weeks is given higher weight in the calculation of soil index. Attacks that occur at a later stage in the seedlings development are regarded as less important since the plants often survive. The evaluation of the risk of damping-off (soil index 0 – 100) is shown in table 1. The soil test also indicates the most common fungi on each location. Three trial locations were chosen on the basis of the result from the soil tests; Skiber  d, Rycketofta and Ingelstr  de. Skiber  d is situated in the central part of Sk  ne 25 km east of Lund. Rycketofta and Ingelstr  de are situated in the north-

western part of Skåne. The results of the soil tests from the three locations with the highest infection potential are shown in enclosure 3.

The results of the analyzes of soil type on each locality are shown in enclosure 2.

### **Plant number and vigour**

The number of plants in each plot was counted three times during emergence and finally after inter-row cultivation. The results are shown in enclosure 4.

The general condition of the plants (vigour score) in each plot were evaluated twice using a scale from 0 – 100 where 0 was given to a plot with completely destroyed plants and 100 to plots with plants in very good condition and growth. The first evaluation of vigour took place when the plants had just developed cotyledons and the ordinary leaves were just visible. The second evaluation took place three to four weeks later. The results are shown in enclosure 5.

### **Damping-off**

Evaluation of damping-off was performed twice in early spring. The first evaluation took place when the plants had just developed cotyledons and the second evaluation two weeks later. In the sample area 50 randomly chosen plants were dug up and each plant was evaluated for symptoms of damping-off and/or insect damage on a scale from 0 (healthy plant) to 5 (dead plant). The percentage of healthy plants and percentage of plants with damping-off were then calculated. The results are shown in enclosure 6.

### **Harvest**

The trials at Skiberöd and Rycketofta were harvested. The trial at Ingelstrände suffered from severe attacks of damping-off and very few plants in several plots survived.

After harvest, the number of beets that showed symptoms of damping-off was counted in each plot (1. very weak, 2. weakly and 3. strongly infected beets, respectively). Beets classified as strongly infected are characterized by a severly deformed taproot whereas weakly infected beets show only moderate signs of deformation. A root rot index (0 – 3) was calculated:

$$\text{Index} = (1 * n_1 + 2 * n_2 + 3 * n_3) / \text{total no. plants in the plot}$$

where n = the number of plants in each category.

A root rot index below one indicates minor deformations on the beets whereas a root rot index close to three indicates severly deformed beets (enclosure 7).

The evaluation was carried out at the central tare house in Örtofta (Agri Provtvätt, Örtofta Sockerbruk, Danisco Sugar).

### **Statistical analyses**

Each trial was laid out in a randomized complete block design with four replicates. To be able to remove plants for analyses, an extra sample area was sown adjacent to the original plot. All variables were analysed with one-way analysis of variance using Proc GLM in SAS, SAS Institute Inc.

## Results and discussion

The results from the soil samples show that *A. coelhioides* was the most frequently occurring fungus on the three trial locations (enclosure 3). The highest soil index was found on Ingelstr  de, followed by Skiber  d and Rycketofta. The most severe attacks of damping-off occurred at Ingelstr  de.

### Plant number

The emergence was rather slow in treatments 5 (Celest 3) and 8 and the number of plants in the first counting was below 20 000 pl/ha in these two treatments. For treatment 5, the plant number is still rather low in the final counting and on the same level as in the treatment with only insecticide (76 000 pl/ha).

The highest number of plants was found in treatment 7 (85 000 plants) which is around 15 000 more than in treatment 1 (untreated) and 9 000 more than in the treatment with only insecticide (treatment 2, Cruiser).

With the exception of treatment 4 and 5, all other fungicide treatments have increased the number of plants compared with treatment 2 (Cruiser) with as many as 3 500 in treatment 8 to 9 000 in treatment 7.

### Vigour score

There were no significant differences in plant condition between the treatments.

### Field evaluation of plant damage due to damping-off

In the first field evaluation all the treatments with fungicides had more than 63% healthy plants. The highest number of healthy plants was found in treatment 8 (70%). The average damage on the plants was also low in treatment 8 (damages score = 0,8), which is significantly different from the average damage in treatments 1 and 2 (without fungicides, damage score = 1,3 and 1,2 respectively).

The number of healthy plants was less than 60% in the treatments without fungicides.

In the second field evaluation the number of healthy plants had decreased in all treatments. The treatments with the highest number of healthy plants were treatment 6 (Celest 6), treatment 8 and treatment 4 (Tachigaren). These treatments also had a low general plant damage (2,3, 2,4 and 2,4 respectively).

### Investigation of beets after harvest

The investigation of beets with chronical symptoms of damping-off carried out at the central tare house showed that the beets from both localities (Skiber  d and Rycketofta) in general had very weak symptoms of root rot. There were no significant differences between the treatments (enclosure 7).

### Yield

There were no significant differences in amount of sugar between the treatments at any of the localities. However, there was a small tendency for treatment 8 to have the highest yield at both Skiber  d and Rycketofta (enclosure 8, 9).

## Correlation between soil index and yield

The correlation between soil index before drilling and extractable amount of sugar has now been investigated in 12 fungicide trials 2000 – 2003 (figure 1). The result is shown in figure 1. The trend line shows that on average, for every increase by one unit of the soil index, 0,0534 ton extractable sugar is lost (calculated from the standard fungicide treatment used in Sweden (Euparen 10 g, Tachigaren 14 g, Montur/Cruiser).

The average amount of extractable sugar was different between Rycketofta and Skiberöd. On average (over all eight treatments), the amount of extractable sugar was 10,1 ton/ha at Rycketofta and 7,7 ton/ha at Skiberöd. A decrease in soil index by 16 units (Rycketofta soil index = 40 to Skiberöd soil index = 56) would thus according to above correlation correspond to a yield loss of around 0,870 ton extractable sugar /ha. This partly explains the difference in yield between Rycketofta and Skiberöd. Weather conditions have a strong impact on the occurrence of root rot and may have been particularly favourable at Skiberöd, thus resulting in the lower yield.

## References

Ewaldz, T. 1993. Determining the risk of damping-off in sugar beets.  
Växtskyddsnotiser 169 – 171.

*Table 1. The table shows the evaluation of risk of damping-off (Ewaldz 1993)*

Index	Risk	Evaluation
0 – 20	No risk	-
20 – 40	Low	Normally no problems
40 – 70	Medium	Growing sugar beets could be hazardous
70 – 100	High	Under favourable conditions, damping-off is highly likely

## Correlation between soil index and yield in 12 trials 2000-2003




Figure 1. The figure shows the amount of extractable sugar (ton/ha) obtained with conventional seed treatment (Euparen, Tachigaren and Montur/Cruiser WS 70 2002, 600 FS 2003) plotted against soil index values for 12 different trial locations during 2000 – 2003.

## GEP-information

### Uppdragsgivare/Contractor:

Syngenta Crop Protection  
 Hans Rasmussen  
 Strandlodsvæj 44, 1. sal, 2300 København S

DuPont Sverige AB  
 Jan-Åke Svensson  
 Box 839, 201 80 Malmö

### Planansvarig/Project Manager:

Åsa Olsson, SBU AB

### Försöksfrö/Trial seed

Försöksfrö har tillhandahållits av Syngenta Crop Protection. /Trial seed has been supplied by Syngenta Crop Protection  
 Betsort Envol/ Variety Envol.

### Försöksmetodik/Methodology

RCB. Beskrivning av metoder och bedömningar: se fältkort för hänvisning till PM i SBUs kvalitetshandbok. /Description of methods and evaluations: see field plan for references to PM in SBU quality handbook.

### Försöksplatser/Trial sites

Ingelstrände  
 Rycketofta  
 Skiberöd

För adressuppgifter till försöksvärdarna: se de enskilda försöksrapporterna.

### Teknisk beskrivning/Technical details:

Produkt/Product	Verksam substans/ Active ingredient	Dos/Dose
1. Obehandlat/Untreated	-	
2. Obehandlat, Cruiser 600 FS	<i>thiametoxam</i>	30 g
3. Euparen, Tachigaren, Cruiser 600 FS	<i>tolyfluanid, thiametoxam, hymexazol</i>	4, 10, 14, 30 g
4. Tach., Cruiser 600 FS	<i>hymexazol, thiametoxam</i>	14, 30 g
5. Celest + Tach. + Cruiser 600 FS	<i>fludioxonil, hymexazol, thiametoxam</i>	3, 14, 30 g
6. Celest + Tach. + Cruiser 600 FS	<i>fludioxonil, hymexazol, thiametoxam</i>	6, 14, 30 g
7. A. + Tach. + Cruiser 600 FS	<i>A., hymexazol, thiametoxam</i>	4, 14, 30 g
8. B. + Tach. + Cruiser 600 FS	<i>B., hymexazol, thiametoxam</i>	8, 14, 30 g

## **Avvikeler/Problems**

Försöket på Ingelsträde var hårt drabbat av rotbrand. Uppkomsten var till en början god men plantorna led kraftigt av rotbrand och dog. I block I och II saknades i stort sett alla plantor. Försöket kunde inte skördas/The trial at Ingelsträde suffered from severe attacks of damping-off. The plant number in blocks I and II was extremely low and the trial could not be harvested.

## **Styrelsen för ackreditering och teknisk kontroll (SWEDAC)**

Försöksstationer ackrediteras av Styrelsen för ackreditering och teknisk kontroll (SWEDAC) enligt svensk lag. Den ackrediterade verksamheten vid försöksstationerna uppfyller för GEP-ackreditering relevanta delar av kraven i SS-EN ISO/IEC 17 025 (2000).

Test facilities are accredited by the Swedish Board for Accreditation and Conformity Assessment (SWEDAC) under the terms of Swedish legislation. The accredited test facilities meet the relevant requirements for GEP accreditation in SS-EN ISO/IEC 17 025 (2000).

Rapporten får inte utan skriftligt tillstånd från SBU AB återges annat än i sin helhet. De i rapporten återgivna resultaten gäller enbart de provade produkterna.

This report may not be reproduced other than in full, except with the prior written approval of SBU AB. The results apply only to those products which have been tested in the investigation.

Borgeby / 2003

Borgeby / 2003

---

Åsa Olsson  
Project Manager  
SBU AB

---

Robert Olsson  
Managing Director  
SBU AB



**Rutfördelning****Rycketofta**

IV	3	2	7	6	8	1	5	4
III	1	8	5	4	6	7	3	2
II	5	4	1	8	2	3	7	6
I	2	1	6	5	7	8	4	3

**Skiberöd**

IV	4	2	5	8	1	6	7	3
III	2	8	3	6	7	4	5	1
II	6	4	7	2	3	8	1	5
I	3	1	4	7	8	5	6	2

**Ingelsträde**

IV	8	1	4	7	3	5	6	2
III	6	7	2	5	1	3	4	8
II	2	3	6	1	5	7	8	4
I	7	8	3	6	2	4	5	1

# Nya betningsmedel mot jordburna svampsjukdomar

SBU projektkod

2003-1-2-487

## Analyzes of soil type

Enclosure 2

	pH	P-AL	P-klass	K-AL	K-klass	Mg-AL	K/Mg kvot	Ca-AL	K-HCL	K-HCL klass	Cu-HCL	P-HCL	Bor (klass)
Rycketofta	6,7	13	IV	12	III	5,2	2,3	120	54	2	13	76 (4)	0,56
Skiberöd	7,0	21	V	15	III	8,1	1,9	210	120	3	10	91 (5)	0,99
Ingelsträde	6,8	20	V	7,1	II	6,3	1,1	120	53	2	8,8	51 (3)	0,61

	Mullhalt %	Lerhalt %	Finler %	Sand Grovmo	Jordart	Basm. grad	S-värde	T-värde
Rycketofta	2,5	8	8	61	nmh lMo	70	6,7	9,6
Skiberöd	2,9	12	12	52	nmh lMo	>80	11,5	13
Ingelsträde	2,2	6	6	82	nmh lSa	73,9	6,7	9,1

**Tests for damping-off in soil before drilling**

<b>Behandling/Treatment</b>	<b>Rycketofta</b>	<b>Skiberöd</b>	<b>Ingelstrände</b>
Svampindex/soil index:	40	56	73
Risk of infection:	Låg/Low	Medium	Hög/High
Most frequently occurring fungi:	<i>Aphanomyces</i> <i>Pythium</i> <i>Rhizoctonia</i>	<i>Aphanomyces</i> <i>Pythium</i>	<i>Aphanomyces</i> <i>Pythium</i> <i>Rhizoctonia</i> <i>Fusarium</i>

The most frequently occurring fungi on all localities was *Aphanomyces*. The highest soil index was found on Ingelstrände, followed by Skiberöd and Rycketofta.

# Nya betningsmedel mot jordburna svampsjukdomar

**Enclosure 4**

## Planräkningar/Plant number

Behandling/Treatment:	Antal plantor / Number of plant 1000-tal/ha / 1000nds/ha			
				Plh slutlig after inter-row cult.
	1 3 försök	2 3 försök	3 3 försök	3 försök
1 Obehandlat/Untreated	23,7	58,4	73,6	71,2
2 Obehandlat/Cruiser 600 FS	21,9	57,5	79,6	76,5
3 Euparen/Tachigaren/Cruiser 600FS	24,9	57,3	88,4	83,7
4 Tachigaren/Cruiser 600FS	23,7	58,2	82,3	76,7
5 Celest/Tachigaren/Cruiser 600 FS	15,7	52,3	81,7	76,2
6 Celest/Tachigaren/Cruiser 600 FS	22,2	57,4	85,2	81,9
7 A. /Tachigaren/Cruiser 600FS	21,0	57,9	88,6	85,3
8 B. /Tachigaren/Cruiser 600FS	19,0	55,5	83,9	80,1
<b>CV</b>	10,2	3,9	3,9	5,8
<b>LSD 5%</b>	3,8	3,8	5,7	8
<b>RSQ %</b>	90,9	99,2	87,2	91,9
<b>Prob.</b>	0,0033	0,0603 ns	0,0011	0,0331
<b>Prob., parvis/pairwise</b>	0,0001	-	<0,0001	0,0020

The emergence was rather slow in treatments 5 and 8 and the number of plants in the first counting was below 20 000 pl/ha. After inter-row-cultivation these treatments had 5 000 and 9 000 more plants, respectively, than in treatment 1.

The highest number of plants was found in treatment 7 (85 000 plants) which is around 15 000 more than in the untreated entry and 9 000 more than in the treatment with only insecticide (treatment 2).

**Sundhet/Vigour score**

Behandling/Treatment	Sundhet / Vigour score 0 - 100	
	1 2 försök/2 trials	2 2 försök/2 trials
1 Obehandlat/Untreated	54	65
2 Obehandlat/Cruiser 600 FS	60	73
3 Euparen/Tachigaren/Cruiser 600FS	62	73
4 Tachigaren/Cruiser 600FS	53	61
5 Celest/Tachigaren/Cruiser 600 FS	61	66
6 Celest/Tachigaren/Cruiser 600 FS	60	70
7 A. /Tachigaren/Cruiser 600FS	66	75
8 B. /Tachigaren/Cruiser 600FS	58	64
CV	7	11
LSD 5%	10	17
RSQ %	95,7	92,6
Prob.	0,2263 ns	0,5195 ns
Prob., parvis/pairwise	-	-

There were no significant differences in plant condition between the treatments.

## Fältbedömning/Field evaluation

## Sammanslagning 3 försök/3 trials

Behandling/Treatment	Fältbedömning 1 / Plant condition 1			Fältbedömning 2 / Plant condition 2		
	Friska pl Healthy pl	Damage score	Svamp Fungi	Friska pl Healthy pl	Damage score	Svamp Fungi
	% 3 försök	0-5 3 försök	% 3 försök	% 3 försök	0-5 3 försök	% 3 försök
1 Obehandlat/Untreated	54,8	1,3	38,7	31,7	2,6	68,3
2 Obehandlat/Cruiser 600 FS	57,5	1,2	33,3	40,3	2,4	59,7
3 Euparen/Tachigaren/Cruiser 600FS	66,5	1,0	29,4	34,4	2,7	65,6
4 Tachigaren/Cruiser 600FS	66,0	0,9	24,6	43,3	2,4	56,7
5 Celest/Tachigaren/Cruiser 600 FS	69,4	0,8	23,6	37,9	2,7	62,1
6 Celest/Tachigaren/Cruiser 600 FS	63,4	1,1	31,7	46,0	2,3	54,2
7 A. /Tachigaren/Cruiser 600FS	65,1	0,9	26,5	38,5	2,7	61,5
8 B. /Tachigaren/Cruiser 600FS	70,2	0,8	25,4	43,0	2,4	56,6
<b>CV</b>	29,0	16,0	62,5	33,1	3,9	21,41
<b>LSD 5%</b>	15,1	0,3	14,8	10,6	0,2	10,53
<b>RSQ %</b>	36	95	58	79,2	97,7	79,4
<b>Prob.</b>	0,4260 ns	0,0130	0,4541 ns	0,1416 ns	0,0335	0,1357 ns
<b>Prob., parvis/pairwise</b>	-	0,0013	-	-	0,0098	-

In the first field evaluation all the treatments with fungicides had more than 63% healthy plants and the number of healthy plants was particularly high in treatment 8. The number of healthy plants was less than 60% in the treatments without fungicides. The average damage on the plants was also low in treatment 8 (damage score 0,8) which is significantly different from the average damage in treatments 1 and 2 (without fungicides).

In the second field evaluation the number of healthy plants had decreased in all treatments. The treatments with the highest number of healthy plants was treatment 6 (Celest), treatment 8 (azoxystrobin) and treatment 4 (Tachigaren). These treatments also had the lowest general plant damage.

## Root rot index evaluated at the central tare house

Behandling/Treatment	Root rot index 0 - 3		
	Rycketofta	Skiberöd	2 försök 2 trials
1 Obehandlat/Untreated	0,22	0,12	0,17
2 Obehandlat/Cruiser 600 FS	0,20	0,09	0,14
3 Euparen/Tachigaren/Cruiser 600FS	0,21	0,12	0,16
4 Tachigaren/Cruiser 600FS	0,15	0,10	0,13
5 Celest/Tachigaren/Cruiser 600 FS	0,20	0,13	0,16
6 Celest/Tachigaren/Cruiser 600 FS	0,20	0,10	0,15
7 A. /Tachigaren/Cruiser 600FS	0,16	0,06	0,11
8 B. /Tachigaren/Cruiser 600FS	0,20	0,17	0,19
CV	46,25	44,49	12,90
LSD 5%	0,13	0,07	0,05
RSQ %	18,8	42,1	93,00
Prob.	0,9518 ns	0,1436 ns	0,0686 ns
Prob., parvis/pairwise	-	-	-

The root rot index is measured on a scale from 0 - 3 where 0 is given to completely healthy plants, 1 to plants with very weak symptoms, 2 is given to plants with weak symptoms and 3 is given to heavily infected plants. The beets from both localities showed in general very weak symptoms of root rot. There were no significant differences between the treatments.

## Skörd/harvest

Behandling/Treatment	Polsocker, ton/ha / Sugar, ton/ha			
	Skiberöd	Rycketofta	Totalt	
	Mean	Mean		
<b>Root rot soil index before drilling:</b>	56	40		
1 Obehandlat/Untreated	8,30	11,39	9,8	
2 Obehandlat/Cruiser 600 FS	9,05	11,21	10,1	
3 Euparen/Tachigaren/Cruiser 600FS	8,98	10,97	10,0	
4 Tachigaren/Cruiser 600FS	8,43	10,99	9,7	
5 Celest/Tachigaren/Cruiser 600 FS	8,31	11,02	9,7	
6 Celest/Tachigaren/Cruiser 600 FS	8,13	10,75	9,4	
7 A. /Tachigaren/Cruiser 600FS	8,69	10,50	9,6	
8 B. /Tachigaren/Cruiser 600FS	9,24	8,64	11,05	10,4
<b>CV</b>	7,84	8,40	3,0	
<b>LSD 5%</b>	1,00	1,37	0,7	
<b>RSQ %</b>	33,60	21,1	97,6	
<b>Prob.</b>	0,2312 ns	0,7788 ns	0,1471 ns	
<b>Prob., parvis/pairwise</b>	-	-	-	

There were no significant differences in amount of sugar between the treatments at any of the localities. There was a small tendency for treatment 8 to have the highest yield at both Skiberöd and Rycketofta.

The average yield at Skiberöd was below 9 ton sugar/ha. The average yield at Rycketofta was 11,05 ton/ha.

## Skörd/Harvest

## 2 försök/2 trials

Behandling/Treatments	Ant. plantor No. plants 1000-tal/ha	Renvikt Clean weight ton/ha	Sockerhalt Sugar content %	Polsocker Sugar		Blåtal Amino-N mg/100g beta	K + Na mM/ 100 g beta	Utvinnbart socker Extractable sugar		Renhet Cleanness	
	1000-nds/ha	ton/ha	%	ton/ha	rel 1	beta	100 g beta	%	ton/ha	rel 1	%
1 Obehandlat/Untreated	79,0	53,5	18,53	9,8	100	10,9	4,6	90,1	8,9	100	91,8
2 Obehandlat/Cruiser 600 FS	81,5	54,5	18,71	10,1	103	10,4	4,7	90,0	9,1	103	92,8
3 Euparen/Tachigaren/Cruiser 600FS	91,5	53,3	18,81	10,0	101	10,3	4,6	90,2	9,0	101	90,7
4 Tachigaren/Cruiser 600FS	88,5	51,8	18,85	9,7	99	10,3	4,6	90,1	8,8	99	90,7
5 Celest/Tachigaren/Cruiser 600 FS	84,2	52,2	18,62	9,7	98	10,9	4,8	89,9	8,7	98	91,6
6 Celest/Tachigaren/Cruiser 600 FS	90,5	50,8	18,68	9,4	96	10,5	4,7	90,0	8,5	96	92,2
7 A. /Tachigaren/Cruiser 600FS	90,1	51,3	18,77	9,6	97	9,8	4,6	90,1	8,7	97	92,5
8 B. /Tachigaren/Cruiser 600FS	87,2	55,9	18,73	10,4	106	10,8	4,6	90,0	9,4	106	89,8
CV	4,1	3,2	0,34	3,0	-	6,1	2,1	0,1	3,0	-	0,9
LSD 5%	8,5	3,9	0,15	0,7	-	1,5	0,2	0,2	0,6	-	2,0
RSQ %	79,4	98,3	99,6	97,6	-	76,4	99,5	99,8	98,0	-	90,8
Prob.	0,0735 ns	0,1748 ns	0,0216	0,1471 ns	-	0,6641 ns	0,5368 ns	0,1656 ns	0,1525 ns	-	0,1014 ns
Prob., parvis/pairwise	-	-	0,0016	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

There were significant differences in sugar content between the treatments. The largest sugar content was found in treatment 4 (Tachigaren) and treatment 3 (Euparen, Tachigaren).